

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

---

## Global tropical reef fish richness could decline by around half if corals are lost

Strona, Giovanni

2021-06-30

---

Strona , G , Lafferty , K D , Fattorini , S , Beck , P S A , Guilhaumon , F , Arrigoni , R , Montano , S , Seveso , D , Galli , P , Planes , S & Parravicini , V 2021 , ' Global tropical reef fish richness could decline by around half if corals are lost ' , Proceedings of the Royal Society B. Biological Sciences , vol. 288 , no. 1953 , 20210274 . <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0274>

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10138/333560>

<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0274>

---

cc\_by

acceptedVersion

---

*Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.*

*This is an electronic reprint of the original article.*

*This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.*

*Please cite the original version.*

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B

BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

## Global tropical reef fish richness could decline by around half if corals are lost

Journal:	<i>Proceedings B</i>
Manuscript ID	RSPB-2021-0274.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	03-Jun-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Strona, Giovanni; University of Helsinki Lafferty, Kevin; University of California Santa Barbara Arrigoni, Roberto; Zoological Station Anton Dohrn Fattorini, Simone; University of L'Aquila Beck, Pieter; European Commission, Joint Research Centre Guilhaumon, François; Université Montpellier, 3Laboratoire Ecosystèmes Lagunaires Montano, Simone; Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca Dipartimento di Biotecnologie e Bioscienze Seveso, Davide; Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca Dipartimento di Biotecnologie e Bioscienze Galli, Paolo; University of Milan-Bicocca Planes, Serge; Perpignan University Parravicini, Valeriano; Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, USR 3278 EPHE-CNRS-UPVD CRILOBE, University of Perpignan
Subject:	Ecology < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	bleaching, co-extinctions, ocean-warming, structural equation modeling
Proceedings B category:	Ecology

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

**Author-supplied statements**

Relevant information will appear here if provided.

***Ethics***

*Does your article include research that required ethical approval or permits?:*

This article does not present research with ethical considerations

*Statement (if applicable):*

CUST\_IF\_YES\_ETHICS :No data available.

***Data***

*It is a condition of publication that data, code and materials supporting your paper are made publicly available. Does your paper present new data?:*

Yes

*Statement (if applicable):*

We provide all the code and data ensuring full replicability of the analyses at

[https://github.com/giovannistrana/fish\\_coral](https://github.com/giovannistrana/fish_coral).

***Conflict of interest***

I/We declare we have no competing interests

*Statement (if applicable):*

CUST\_STATE\_CONFLICT :No data available.

***Authors' contributions***

CUST\_AUTHOR\_CONTRIBUTIONS\_QUESTION :No data available.

*Statement (if applicable):*

CUST\_AUTHOR\_CONTRIBUTIONS\_TEXT :No data available.

**Global tropical reef fish richness could decline by around half if corals are lost**

Giovanni Strona<sup>1\*</sup>, Kevin D. Lafferty<sup>2</sup>, Simone Fattorini<sup>3</sup>, Pieter S.A. Beck<sup>4</sup>, Francois Guilhaumon<sup>5,6</sup>, Roberto Arrigoni<sup>7</sup>, Simone Montano<sup>8,9</sup>, Davide Seveso<sup>8,9</sup>, Paolo Galli<sup>8,9</sup>, Serge Planes<sup>10</sup>, Valeriano Parravicini<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Organismal and Evolutionary Biology Research Programme, University of Helsinki, Finland

<sup>2</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Western Ecological Research Center c/o Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Italy

<sup>4</sup>European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), 21027 Ispra, Italy

<sup>5</sup>MARBEC, IRD, CNRS, Univ. Montpellier, Ifremer, France

<sup>6</sup>IRD, Saint-Denis de la Réunion, France

<sup>7</sup>Department of Biology and Evolution of Marine Organisms (BEOM), Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn Napoli, Villa Comunale, 80121 Naples, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences (DISAT), University of Milan – Bicocca, Italy

<sup>9</sup>MaRHE Center (Marine Research and High Education Center), Republic of Maldives

<sup>10</sup>CRIOBE, PSL Research University, LabEx CORAIL, EPHE, CNRS, UPVD, France

\* Giovanni Strona

**Email:** [giovanni.strona@helsinki.fi](mailto:giovanni.strona@helsinki.fi)

22    **Abstract**

23    Reef fishes are a treasured part of marine biodiversity, and also provide needed protein for  
24    billions of people. Although most reef fishes might survive projected increases in ocean  
25    temperatures, corals are less tolerant. A few fish species strictly depend on corals for food and  
26    shelter, suggesting that coral extinctions could lead to some secondary fish extinctions. However,  
27    secondary extinctions could extend far beyond those few coral-dependent species. Furthermore, it  
28    is yet unknown how such fish declines might vary around the world. Current coral mass  
29    mortalities led us to ask how fish communities would respond to coral loss within and across  
30    oceans. We mapped 6,964 coral reef-fish species and 119 coral genera, and then regressed reef-  
31    fish species richness against coral generic richness at the one-degree scale (after controlling for  
32    biogeographical factors that drive species diversification). Consistent with small-scale studies,  
33    statistical extrapolations suggested that local fish richness across the globe would be around half  
34    its current value in a hypothetical world without coral, leading to more areas with low or  
35    intermediate fish species richness and fewer fish-diversity hotspots.

36  
37    **Keywords:** bleaching; co-extinctions; ocean-warming; structural equation modeling.

38  
39    **Introduction**

40    Unless carbon emissions will be substantially lowered, 99% of the world’s coral reefs are  
41    predicted to undergo repeated, severe bleaching events within this century (1), which begs the  
42    question: how might fish communities respond to an ocean without corals globally? On the one  
43    hand, rock reefs can support diverse fish assemblages in the tropics (2). On the other hand, it is  
44    well documented by empirical studies that coral mortality can reduce reef-fish diversity and  
45    biomass beyond the few coral-reef fishes that strictly depend on living corals for food and/or  
46    shelter (3-6). For instance, small-scale empirical studies (4, 7) and meta-analyses (8-10) find fish  
47    diversity declines by more than a half without corals. This implies corals provide many direct and  
48    indirect ecological benefits at the patch-reef scale, such as contributing structural complexity and  
49    hence generating habitat for fish and other reef organisms (11, 12). Assuming that these reef-scale  
50    dependencies on corals scale up to regions and oceans, global reef-fish diversity might decline  
51    substantially in the future. Unfortunately, data on how fish depend on corals do not yet exist at  
52    the scale needed to quantify (and map) such decline globally.

53    We conducted a thought experiment where we asked how many coral-dependent reef-fish species  
54    would be lost and how many reef fishes would remain at a location after removing all coral  
55    species. Although this extreme prediction might not reflect the future, it helps us bracket how fish  
56    might respond to a worst-case scenario. We first mapped fish and coral diversity across the globe.  
57    We then mapped the moderate proportion of fishes known to depend directly on corals. Next, we  
58    statistically projected the intercept of the fish diversity – coral diversity association without  
59    considering natural history as a factor. As predicted by many previous studies, both approaches  
60    suggested fish richness would decline with coral loss. But the statistical projection had a stronger

effect, perhaps because it accounted for indirect effects and aspects of natural history not available across species from the literature (3). Specifically, in a well-fit model that accounted for multiple drivers and covariates, eliminating coral had direct and indirect impacts on regional fish diversity that were consistent with empirical results from patch reefs, suggesting that species richness would decline by half, phylogenetic diversity decline by a third, and functional diversity decline by a quarter.

## Results and Discussion

We mapped coral generic richness and reef-fish species richness in each  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  cell across the world's coral reefs (for a total of 1708 cells, Fig. 1A,B). We then estimated the extent to which reef-fish richness depended on corals using two independent approaches (i) limited natural history information about coral dependency available for all species (13-16); and (ii) a statistical model that regressed fish species richness (without considering natural history) on coral generic richness.

In the natural history dependency dataset, obligate coral feeders were rare (0.1% per site, Fig. 2A), but facultative coral feeders (4%) and fish species that use corals for shelter and/or reproduction (13%) were not (Fig. 2A). Combined, these natural history traits suggest 17.6% ( $\pm 0.07\%$  S.D.) of fishes were directly vulnerable to coral loss, which is less than predicted from empirical studies and meta-analyses (4,7-10). This inconsistency could be due to gaps in the available species-specific information on ecological associations, including behavior, recruitment and indirect links between fish and corals (3).

The correlation between fish-species richness and coral generic richness ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig S1), which is consistent with reef-scale observations that fish richness increases with coral diversity (17), provides circumstantial support to these ideas. However, at the global scale, this correlation could be due to at least four non mutually exclusive hypotheses: (i) coral and fish species have shared radiations based on gene-flow, region age, and isolation, (ii) coral and fish independently follow the latitudinal diversity gradient, (iii) fish and coral diversity increase with a cell's reef habitat area, and/or (iv) fish diversity depends on coral diversity. Here, we focused on the fourth hypothesis, by attempting to isolate how coral richness affects fish richness from environmental factors (water temperature, salinity, pH, primary productivity, depth suitable to reef-building corals), isolation (measured a fraction of land within 5 lat/lon degrees), fraction of coral cover and large-scale biogeographical factors (regions). We tested several possible statistical models with and without latent variables, spatial hierarchies, and interaction terms. We examined fit statistics for each model and, because all models were consistent in their main results, we present the one with the best compromise between fit (Chi-square, AIC, RMSEA, and goodness of fit; Table S1 and Figs. S2-S10) and ecological meaning (Fig. 3A, Table S1). This simple model (without quadratic terms, latent variables or interactions) supported a link from coral diversity to fish diversity ( $R^2 = 0.82$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ;  $n=1708$ , Fig. 3B, see Table S2) that was robust to spatial autocorrelation among sites (see Methods).

We used this model to predict site-level fish species richness with and without corals. A fish community's vulnerability to coral loss varied geographically, being highest in the central Pacific, intermediate in the western Indian, central Indo-Pacific, and tropical eastern Pacific, and moderate in the western Atlantic (Fig. 2A,C), with dependency being higher in regions where available natural history information suggests more fish species feed on or live in close association with coral (Spearman's  $\rho = 0.64$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 3C). However, the statistical model predicted twice as many fish species depended on corals than expected based on natural history alone (cf. points vs. bars in Fig. 2A). On average,  $41\% \pm 18\%$  (SD) of fish species appeared to depend on corals being present, with dependency computed for each locality as 1 minus predicted fish diversity without corals divided by predicted diversity with corals (Figs. 2A; fig 3C).

Considering fish phylogenetic and functional entity diversity gives a more comprehensive view of how coral loss might affect fish communities. On average, local phylogenetic diversity declined by  $32\% \pm 21\%$  (Fig. 4A), which was in line with the expected decline in phylogenetic diversity for a similar magnitude decline in species richness ( $31\% \pm 16\%$ ; Fig S13A). The number of fish functional entities per reef locality declined by  $23\% \pm 21\%$  (Fig. 4B), which was a little less dramatic than the expected decline in functional entities ( $28\% \pm 16\%$ , Fig. S13B).

Total global-scale coral loss is a simple, but pessimistic thought experiment, so we also considered how partial reductions in coral diversity were associated with fish diversity. We set coral diversity to zero one reef site at a time, with the order of selected sites being either random, or aimed at minimizing or maximizing fish loss, and then plotted the proportional decline in fish taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional entity diversity (as well as their expected decline if species were removed at random) Fig. 5. Regardless of the order of site selection, coral loss had the strongest effect on species richness, followed by phylogenetic diversity and number of functional entities. Declines in fish diversity facets were rapid even when we removed sites in the order that best protected fish richness. This suggests that rate of fish diversity loss might be less sensitive than expected to where corals decline first.

Although losing all coral genera amounts to losing all coral, the same does not apply to partial coral loss. The extent that partial coral loss will affect fish communities likely depends more on changes in coral cover than coral generic diversity. Furthermore, our study treats all coral species the same, but coral species vary in their sensitivity to bleaching and in their importance to fishes (18, 19), adding uncertainty to how fish diversity will respond to gradual coral bleaching. Although tackling this problem would require analyzing finer-scale data than exists on the global scale, theoretical studies indicate that species evolve to depend on historically persistent resources (20), and that unprecedented environmental changes like global warming can lead to greater than expected rates of secondary extinctions (21). Fish should fare worse if common corals go extinct first.

Some coral reefs are more sensitive to warming than others, and this could affect global changes to fish communities over time. In addition, future temperature projections vary widely. Therefore, we projected reef-fish diversity loss under three ocean temperature projections (SSP2, SSP3 and SSP5, from the most conservative to the most pessimistic, assuming that total annual carbon

emission will reach, respectively, 10, 83 and 126 Gt by 2100; this translates into an increase of yearly mean water surface temperature averaged across all reef localities of 0.91, 1.91, and 2.4 °C in the period 2095-2100 compared to 2015-2020). For each month from 2020 to 2100, we assumed substantial bleaching would occur at sites where the projected temperature was 2 °C warmer than the mean temperature of the warmest month in the period 2015 to 2020. Bleaching increased steadily in all projections (Fig. 6A), with more than 60% of cells expected to bleach at least once by 2060, and all cells bleaching by 2090, even under the most conservative climatic projection (SSP2-4.5) (Fig. 6E).

Various environmental and ecological factors might alter the mean rate at which fish respond to reductions in coral cover (e.g. 22-24). Although bleached coral provides reef habitat until reefs erode (25, 26), we made the simplifying assumption that bleached reefs had no coral habitat. Because there is not enough information to accurately parameterize the rates at which fish and coral recover from bleaching at each cell on the globe, we considered two extreme options for coral and fish recovery: instantaneous (Fig. 6B-D), or never (Fig. 6F-H). Combining high and low warming rates with high and low recovery rates helped us bound fish diversity declines between two scenarios. In the most optimistic scenario (little warming and rapid coral and fish recovery after bleaching), reefs were > 95% intact and local fish diversity declined very little (1%) by 2060. In the least optimistic scenario (rapid warming and no coral and fish recovery after bleaching), reefs were > 95% gone and local fish diversity declined 40% by 2060. Future reef-fish diversity was therefore highly sensitive to future climate projections and recovery assumptions.

As before, losses in fish phylogenetic and functional entity diversity were buffered by redundancy at the species level (33% and 23% respectively under the least-resilient assumption). Although the modelled loss of phylogenetic diversity was as expected, the modelled loss of functional entities was less than expected, especially under the least-resilient assumption of no coral and fish recovery, suggesting coral loss will impact some functional entities more than others.

Even after accounting for shared environmental and geographic correlations, data pooled at the 1° × 1° scale cannot demonstrate cause-effect relationships between coral and fish diversity. Nor can the association between present-day coral diversity and present-day fish diversity (which may or may not be at equilibrium), tell us how fish diversity will respond to future coral diversity.

However, the projected halving of fish diversity in response to complete coral loss found from experiments and reef-level observations (4, 7) scales up from small field experiments, to reef transects, to global reef-fish communities, suggesting that a hypothetical world without corals would lack many fish species that do not depend directly on corals (Fig. 1d; Fig. S14). Species losses following warming and coral mortality would likely extend to reef invertebrates as well (27), and fish loss could feed back to coral loss due to algal overgrowth and increased coral predators. On a positive note, because the hypothesized link between fish and coral diversity is more than twice that described as dependent on corals in the literature, conserving and restoring diverse coral communities could have broad benefits for marine biodiversity beyond a few obligate corallivorous fishes, so long as carbon emissions change little.



## Materials and Methods

We obtained occurrence data for 7,408 tropical marine fish species from both OBIS (<http://www.iobis.org>) and GBIF (<https://www.gbif.org/>), and used taxonomical and biogeographical information from FishBase to correct species nomenclature and exclude incorrect records. We used  $\alpha$ -hulls (28) to draw species ranges from occurrences, which we then mapped (together with 119 coral genera ranges obtained merging coral species maps from IUCN, [www.iucnredlist.org](http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/1)) across all reef localities worldwide (<http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/1>) at a resolution of  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  latitude/longitude (Fig. 1a,b). We excluded  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  cells where there were no coral genera and/or fewer than 10 fish species and/or no reef habitat in depths  $<30\text{m}$ , being left with a total of 1708 cells. We validated the obtained fish distribution data with an independent dataset, GASPAR (29), obtaining a median TSS of 0.47, with a median sensitivity of 0.67, and a median specificity of 0.94, indicating that our mapped ranges were sufficiently conservative and rarely generated false presences. We chose to focus on coral genera instead of coral species so as not to overestimate local species richness derived from the use of broad distributional ranges. With this, we are taking the conservative assumption that fish-coral dependency/specialization does not go up to the species level (i.e., we are assuming, for example, that a fish that eats *Acropora* can consume all the species within the genera).

As a reference for fish-coral dependency, we obtained information about the coral dependency of 6315 species by combining literature sources (13-16), identifying three different types of dependency: obligate corallivory, facultative corallivory, and generic association to reef habitat (for example, use of coral for shelter and/or reproduction). We combined such literature on fish dependency and fish distribution into a global map of fish-coral dependency based on natural history.

We independently projected fish-coral dependency using associations between fish richness and coral (genera) revealed by structural equation modelling. We explored several different model structures for describing fish diversity while accounting for major environmental and biogeographical factors that affect fish and coral diversity, namely: mean surface water temperature; temperature annual range; salinity; pH; primary productivity; fraction of  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  cell area within 0-30m; fraction of cell area intersecting reef habitat (according to <http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/1>); isolation (total land area surrounding a reef locality within a radius of 5 latitude/longitude degrees); marine biogeographical region of belonging (30); absolute latitude. The environmental data (surface temperature, salinity, pH, and total chlorophyll as a proxy for productivity) were obtained from (31). Reef area and shallow habitat area helped account for expected species-area relationships that drive diversity. We explored models with and without latent variables and with and without non-linear responses (in particular, we tested alternative models where the environmental variables were either or not included as quadratic terms). Model selection began with a complex network of putative drivers for coral and fishes as well as hypothesized drivers of drivers (e.g., latitude affects temperature and temperature and latitude affects diversity). We selected the final model based on fit indices (Chi-square/df ratio, p-value,

cfi, tli, aic, bic, rmsea, srmr, and goodness of fit between observed and modelled diversity) and model complexity (preferring simple models) and ecological realism. We provide all the code and data ensuring full replicability of the analyses at [https://github.com/giovannistrone/fish\\_coral](https://github.com/giovannistrone/fish_coral). In addition, we provide schematic representation of 20 alternative models as supplementary information (Fig. S2-S10).

Semivariograms for fish diversity vs. independent variables and coral diversity vs. independent variables showed spatial autocorrelation, which can affect P-values used to assess statistical significance. However, a linear spatial correction did not affect the significance of the coefficients and produced a model consistent with the non-spatial corrected one, with coral diversity remaining a major driver of fish diversity (Table. S1).

We used the model to quantify fish coral dependency, which we computed for each cell as  $cd = (F_p - F_0)/F_p$ , where  $F_p$  is the fish richness estimated by the model (based on current coral diversity), and  $F_0$  is the fish diversity predicted by the model when coral richness is set to zero. In addition, we computed the hypothetical expected loss of fish diversity in a coral less world as  $cd \times F_c$ , and the expected remaining fish diversity as  $F_c - (cd \times F_c)$ .

We plotted expected fish diversity (in total species and proportion of species) as a function of expanding coral loss in space. We modelled fish diversity loss as a continuous function of coral loss by progressively increasing the number of grid cells affected by mass mortality from none to all reef cells. To account for sensitivity to the order in which reef localities lose coral diversity, we generated different curves where coral mortality was imposed at (i) random; (ii) from the largest to the lowest fraction of coral-dependent fishes (least robust assumption); (iii) from the lowest to the largest fraction of coral dependent fishes (most robust assumption).

We then plotted how fish diversity (in total species and proportion of species) might respond to warming temperatures over time (2020 to 2100). We referred to the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) global circulation model for surface water temperature, using three different climate projections — SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, and SSP5-8.5 (with SSP2-4.5 and SSP5 8.5 being approximately equivalent to updated versions of CMIP5 projection RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, and SSP3-7.0 being a new intermediate projection). In particular, we used the *NCAR CESM2 WACCM* model output (32), that we downloaded at a resolution of  $1 \times 1^\circ$  grid from [esgf node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6](https://node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6). We connected coral loss to temperature by predicting mass mortality due to bleaching in a reef cell whenever the predicted local monthly temperature was  $2^\circ \text{C}$  warmer than the mean temperature of the warmest (not the current) month in a reference period (2015-2020). This was more conservative than the  $1.0^\circ \text{C}$  of anomaly as a threshold for heat stress leading to coral bleaching used by NOAA as a criterion to identify bleaching hot spots, following (33, see <https://coralreefwatch.noaa.gov/satellite/methodology/methodology.php>). Our most-resilient scenario allowed fish and coral diversity to recover after bleaching, whereas our least-resilient scenario allowed no recovery after the first mass bleaching event. Given the variability in thermal tolerance among different coral species and populations, and corals' potential for acclimation and the adaptive acquisition of climate resistance (34), the reality is likely somewhere in between.

We used this same analytical framework to explore the effect of coral loss on fish phylogenetic and functional diversity. To quantify fish phylogenetic diversity in each reef locality (i.e.  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  cell), we first extracted a sub-tree including all bony fish species in our dataset from a complete fish phylogeny obtained using the R package *fishtree* (35), and then we computed phylogenetic diversity as *PD* according to (36) for each set of species in a target locality using the R package *PhyloMeasures* (37).

In addition, for each locality, we also counted the number of unique functional entities, using the same approach (and data) from (38). We associated each species in our dataset with six categorical functional traits, namely size class (7.1–15 cm, 15.1–30 cm, 30.1–50 cm, 50.1–80 cm, and >80 cm); mobility (sedentary, mobile within a reef, mobile between reefs); period of activity (diurnal, nocturnal, both); schooling (solitary, pairing, 3–20 individuals, 20–50 individuals, >50 individuals); vertical position in the water column (benthic, bentho-pelagic, pelagic); diet (herbivorous-detritivorous, macroalgal herbivorous, invertivorous targeting sessile invertebrates, invertivorous targeting mobile invertebrates, planktivorous, piscivorous, omnivorous). We then identified each realized combination of different traits as an individual functional entity, and we finally counted the number of unique functional entities in each locality.

We tested the same SEM designs used to model fish species richness, replacing the variable corresponding to the number of fish species with either the local measure of phylogenetic diversity or the number of functional entities. As both variables scale non-linearly (specifically, logarithmically) with fish species richness, we transformed them in the model as  $x^a$ , with  $a = 1.7$  for phylogenetic diversity and  $a = 3$  for functional entities (which ensured the best linearization, with an  $R^2$  of 0.999 for phylogenetic diversity vs fish species richness, and of 0.971 for functional entities vs fish species richness). We back-transformed the statistical predictions to interpret them in the original scale.

As for fish species richness, we chose models for phylogenetic and functional entity diversity showing the best compromise between fit, simplicity and ecological realism (see Figs. S15-S17 and Tables S3 and S4). The models were similarly used to project the expected loss of phylogenetic and functional entity diversity when local coral diversity was reduced to zero, for the same set of scenarios applied to fish richness. To assess whether some higher-order taxa or functional entities were more sensitive to coral loss than others, we computed the expected loss of phylogenetic and functional diversity if fish species had declined at random. At each reef locality we generated 100 depleted fish assemblages by randomly removing the projected number of lost species from the full local assemblage. We then computed the average phylogenetic and functional entity diversity for each randomly depleted local fish assemblage.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Jordan M. Casey and Claudio Castellano for commenting on the first version of this paper. The views expressed are purely those of the authors and may not in any circumstance be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission. Any use of trade, product, or

firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

## References

- 1 R. van Hooidonk, J. Maynard, J. Tاملander, J. Gove, G. Ahmadi, L. Raymundo, G. Williams, S. F. Heron, S. Planes, Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications of the Paris Agreement. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 39666 (2016).
- 2 C. E. Ferreira, J. E. Gonçalves, R. Coutinho, Community Structure of Fishes and Habitat Complexity on a Tropical Rocky Shore. *Env. Biol. Fish.* 61, 353-369 (2001).
- 3 G. P. Jones, M. I. McCormick, M. Srinivasan, J. V. Eagle, Coral decline threatens fish biodiversity in marine reserves. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101, 8251-8253 (2004).
- 4 P. W. Glynn, Fish utilization of simulated coral reef frameworks versus eroded rubble substrates off Panamá, eastern Pacific. *Proc. 10th Int. Coral. Reef. Sym.* 1, 250-256 (2006).
- 5 J. E. Duffy, J. S. Lefcheck, R. D. Stuart-Smith, S. A. Navarrete, G. J. Edgar, Biodiversity enhances reef fish biomass and resistance to climate change. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 6230-6235 (2016).
- 6 J. P. Robinson, S. K. Wilson, S. Jennings, N. A. Graham, Thermal stress induces persistently altered coral reef fish assemblages. *Glob. Change Biol.* 25, 2739-2750 (2019).
- 7 V. Komyakova, P. L. Munday, G. P. Jones, Relative importance of coral cover, habitat complexity and diversity in determining the structure of reef fish communities. *PLoS One* 8, e83178 (2013).
- 8 S. K. Wilson, N. A. Graham, M. S. Pratchett, G. P. Jones, N. V. Polunin, Multiple disturbances and the global degradation of coral reefs: are reef fishes at risk or resilient?. *Glob. Change Biol.* 12, 2220-2234 (2006).
- 9 M. S. Pratchett, A. S. Hoey, S. K. Wilson, V. Messmer, N. A. J. Graham, Changes in biodiversity and functioning of reef fish assemblages following coral bleaching and coral loss. *Diversity* 3, 424-452 (2011).
- 10 M. S. Pratchett, C. A. Thompson, A. S. Hoey, P. F. Cowman, S. K. Wilson, Effects of coral bleaching and coral loss on the structure and function of reef fish assemblages. In *Coral bleaching*, Springer, Cham, pp. 265-293 (2018).
- 11 N. A. J. Graham, K. L. Nash, The importance of structural complexity in coral reef ecosystems. *Coral Reefs* 32, 315-326 (2013).

- 333 12 E. S. Darling, N. A. Graham, F. A. Januchowski-Hartley, K. L. Nash, M. S. Pratchett, S.  
334 K. Wilson, Relationships between structural complexity, coral traits, and reef fish  
335 assemblages. *Coral Reefs* 36, 561-575 (2017).
- 336 13 A. J. Cole, M. S. Pratchett, G. P. Jones, Diversity and functional importance of  
337 coral-feeding fishes on tropical coral reefs. *Fish Fish.* 9, 286-307 (2008).
- 338 14 N. A. J. Graham, P. Chabanet, R. D. Evans, S. Jennings, Y. Letourneur, M. A. MacNeil,  
339 T. R. McClanahan, M. C. Öhman, N. V. C. Polunin, S. K. Wilson, Extinction  
340 vulnerability of coral reef fishes. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 341-348 (2011).
- 341 15 K. L. Nash, N. A. Graham, D. R. Bellwood, Fish foraging patterns, vulnerability to  
342 fishing, and implications for the management of ecosystem function across scales. *Ecol.*  
343 *Appl.* 23, 1632-1644 (2013).
- 344 16 D. J. Coker, S. K. Wilson, M. S. Pratchett, Importance of live coral habitat for reef fishes.  
345 *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* 24, 89-126 (2014).
- 346 17 S. J. Holbrook, R. J. Schmitt, V. Messmer, A. J. Brooks, M. Srinivasan, P. L. Munday, G.  
347 P. Jones, Reef fishes in biodiversity hotspots are at greatest risk from loss of coral  
348 species. *PloS one* 10, e0124054 (2015).
- 349 18 V. Messmer, G. P. Jones, P. L. Munday, S. J. Holbrook, R. J. Schmitt, A. J. Brooks,  
350 Habitat biodiversity as a determinant of fish community structure on coral reefs. *Ecology*  
351 92, 2285-2298 (2011).
- 352 19 D. R. Bellwood, C. H. Goatley, O. Bellwood, The evolution of fishes and corals on reefs:  
353 form, function and interdependence. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 878-901 (2017).
- 354 20 G. Strona, K. D. Lafferty, Environmental change makes robust ecological networks  
355 fragile. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 1-7 (2016).
- 356 21 G. Strona, C. J. Bradshaw, Co-extinctions annihilate planetary life during extreme  
357 environmental change. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 1-12 (2018).
- 358 22 K. C. Garpe, S. A. Yahya, U. Lindahl, M. C. Öhman, Long-term effects of the 1998 coral  
359 bleaching event on reef fish assemblages. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 315, 237-247 (2006).
- 360 23 S. K. Wilson, A. M. Dolman, A. J. Cheal, M. J. Emslie, M. S. Pratchett, H. P. Sweatman,  
361 H.P. Maintenance of fish diversity on disturbed coral reefs. *Coral Reefs* 28(1), 3-14  
362 (2009).
- 363 24 J. M. Magel, S. A. Dimoff, J. K. Baum, Direct and indirect effects of climate  
364 change-amplified pulse heat stress events on coral reef fish communities. *Ecol. Appl.*  
365 30(6), p.e02124 (2020).
- 366 25 N. A. Graham, S. K. Wilson, S. Jennings, N. V. Polunin, J. P. Bijoux, J. Robinson,  
367 Dynamic fragility of oceanic coral reef ecosystems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 103,  
368 8425-8429 (2006).

- 369 26 N. A. Graham, Habitat complexity: coral structural loss leads to fisheries declines.  
370 *Current Biol.* 24, R359-R361 (2014).
- 371 27 P. W. Glynn, In tandem reef coral and cryptic metazoan declines and extinctions. *Bull.*  
372 *Mar. Sci.* 87.4, 767-794 (2011).
- 373 28 E. García-Roselló, C. Guisande, A. Manjarrés-Hernández, J. González-Dacosta, J. Heine,  
374 P. Pelayo-Villamil, L. González-Vilas, R. P. Vari, A. Vaamonde, C. Granado-Lorencio,  
375 J. M. Lobo, Can we derive macroecological patterns from primary Global Biodiversity  
376 Information Facility data? *Global Ecol. Biogeog.* 24, 335-347 (2015).
- 377 29 V. Parravicini, M. Kulbicki, D. R. Bellwood, A. M. Friedlander, J. E. Arias-Gonzalez, P.  
378 Chabanet, S. R. Floeter, R. Myers, L. Vigliola, S. D'Agata, D. Mouillot, Global patterns  
379 and predictors of tropical reef fish species richness. *Ecography* 36, 1254-1262 (2013).
- 380 30 M. D. Spalding, H. E. Fox, G. R. Allen, N. Davidson, Z. A. Ferdaña, M. Finlayson, M. A.  
381 X., B. S. Halpern, M. A. Jorge, A. Lombana, S. A. Lourie, K. D. Martin, E. McManus, J.  
382 Molnar, C. A. Recchia, J. Robertson, Marine ecoregions of the world: a  
383 bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *BioScience* 57, 573-583 (2007).
- 384 31 J. Assis, L. Tyberghein, S. Bosh, H. Verbruggen, E. A. Serrão, O. De Clerck, Bio-  
385 ORACLE v2.0: Extending marine data layers for bioclimatic modelling. *Global Ecol.*  
386 *Biogeog.* 27, 277-284 (2017).
- 387 32 G. Danabasoglu, NCAR CESM2-WACCM model output prepared for CMIP6  
388 ScenarioMIP. Version 20200616. Earth System Grid Federation.  
389 <https://doi.org/10.22033/ESGF/CMIP6.10026> (2019).
- 390 33 P. W. Glynn, L. D'Croz, Experimental evidence for high temperature stress as the cause  
391 of El Nino coincident coral mortality. *Coral Reefs* 8, 181-191 (1990).
- 392 34 S. R. Palumbi, D. J. Barshis, N. Traylor-Knowles, R. A. Bay, Mechanisms of reef coral  
393 resistance to future climate change. *Science* 344, 895-898 (2014)
- 394 35 J. Chang, D. L. Rabosky, S. A. Smith, M. E. Alfaro, An R package and online resource  
395 for macroevolutionary studies using the ray-finned fish tree of life. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*  
396 10(7), 1118-1124 (2019).
- 397 36 D. P. Faith, Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biol. Conserv.* 61, 1-10  
398 (1992).
- 399 37 C. Tsirogiannis, B. Sandel, PhyloMeasures: A package for computing phylogenetic  
400 biodiversity measures and their statistical moments. *Ecography* 39(7), 709-714 (2015).
- 401 38 D. Mouillot, S. Villéger, V. Parravicini, M. Kulbicki, J. E. Arias-González, M. Bender, P.  
402 Chabanet, S. R. Floeter, A. Friedlander, L. Vigliola, D. R. Bellwood, Functional over-  
403 redundancy and high functional vulnerability in global fish faunas on tropical reefs. *Proc.*  
404 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111(38), 13757-13762 (2014).

## Figure legends

**Figure 1.** Current global distribution of coral richness, tropical fish richness, projected fish richness dependent on corals, and projected fish richness remaining in a world without corals. (A) Current global distribution of coral genera richness. (B) Current global distribution of tropical fish species richness,  $F_c$ . (C) Projected tropical fish species dependent on coral, computed as  $cd \times F_c$ , where  $cd$  is the degree of fish dependency on corals inferred from our statistical model; (D) Projected global distribution of tropical fish richness in a coral-less world, computed as  $F_c - (cd \times F_c)$ .

**Figure 2.** Natural history-based and statistically projected coral dependency by biogeographic region. (A) Stacked bars represent the fraction of coral dependent fish species based on the literature across biogeographic regions, as in (Spalding 2007) (CP = central Pacific; WIO = western Indian Ocean; CIP = central Indo-Pacific; WA = western Atlantic; TEP = tropical eastern Pacific). Different colors correspond to obligate corallivory, facultative corallivory, and coral-associated fishes. Points represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviation of fish coral dependency estimated by our statistical projection. Maps report the global distribution of coral dependency according to the statistical projection (at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution) (B), and coral dependency based on literature (C; sum of obligate and facultative corallivores, and coral-associated fish). See supplementary Figs. S11-S12 for a more detailed version of B and C.

**Figure 3.** Global fish diversity model. (A) Fitted structural equation model used in the analyses (other models gave similar fish-coral coefficients). Numbers report the standardized coefficients. The best model included no latent variables. The coral and fish icons indicate, respectively, coral genera diversity and fish species diversity. Abbreviations:  $T_m$  = mean surface temperature;  $T_r$  = annual surface temperature range; SAL = surface salinity; PP = primary productivity; REEF = reef fraction (fraction of reef habitat per  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  reef cell); 30m = fraction of reef cell with depth  $\leq 30m$ ; ISO = isolation;  $|LAT|$  = absolute latitude; WA = western Atlantic; WIO = western Indian Ocean; CIP = central Indo-Pacific; CP = central Pacific. (B) Observed vs. model predicted fish diversity in a world with (blue dots) and without corals (magenta dots). The  $R^2$  value indicates the goodness of fit between observed and predicted fish diversity (in a world with corals). (C) Comparison between the fish dependency predicted by our model in each reef locality (as in Fig. 2B) and the corresponding fish dependency value estimated from natural history traits reported in the literature (as in Fig. 2C).  $r_s$  is the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Solid lines in B and C are lines of equality.

**Figure 4.** Association between reef loss and loss of fish richness, phylogenetic diversity and functional entities, as predicted by the SEM models (Figs. S15-16), at  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  resolution.





442




443 **Figure 5.** Statistical projections of global % decline in fish species richness (yellow),  
444 phylogenetic diversity (green) and functional entities (purple) for increasing levels of reef loss  
445 (solid line = mean, shaded area range between most and least robust assumptions). The dashed  
446 lines represent the expected % decline in fish phylogenetic diversity and functional entities if fish  
447 species were removed at random.




448



449 **Figure 6.** Climate-based projections (for three future CMIP6 climatic scenarios, see Methods) of  
450 global loss of reef fish species richness, phylogenetic diversity and functional entities following  
451 coral loss. (A) Fraction of reef localities expected to bleach each month from 2020 to 2100 (dark  
452 line is the decadal mean). (E) Fraction of reef localities worldwide expected to have been exposed  
453 to at least one bleaching event. (B-D) Predicted loss of fish diversity facets assuming rapid  
454 recovery of coral and fish communities after bleaching (high resilience) (dark line is the decadal  
455 mean). (F-H) Predicted loss of fish diversity facets assuming no recovery of fish and coral  
456 communities (low resilience). The dashed lines in panels C, D, G and H indicate the average  
457 percentage decline in fish phylogenetic diversity and functional entities obtained by removing an  
458 equivalent number of fish species at random across 100 replicates (Fig. 3).













# reefs at risk to bleaching

# species

# phylogenetic diversity

# functional entities

Submitted to Proceedings of the Royal Society B, For Review Only

Page 20 of 19

